

# Build-to-Rent Exemption Opens the Door for Institutional Capital

New federal policy draws a clear line between scattered-site rentals and purpose-built communities, creating major tailwinds for build-to-rent.

## #1

### A Defining Policy Distinction

New federal housing policy aims to curb institutional ownership of for-sale homes, but purpose-built rental communities are explicitly exempt.

#### Why It Matters:

- Scattered-site portfolios face headwinds
- Purpose-built BTR communities get a green light
- Institutional strategies are already shifting to new construction



## #2

### Capital is Pivoting. Fast.

The exemption makes BTR the clearest path for institutional investment. Institutions can pivot to BTR very easily, and many already have.

#### Market Impacts:

- Heightened demand for BTR-appropriate land
- Accelerating development of townhomes, bungalows and SFR communities
- Suburban parcels become increasingly competitive



## #3

### Supply Stays the Central Challenge

Regulatory barriers continue to restrict new housing supply, but the BTR exemption protects the developers actually adding units.

#### What to Watch:

- Long-term legislative impacts
- Potential unintended consequences for SFR markets
- Municipal responses as BTR absorbs more institutional activity



### THE TAKEAWAY

Build-to-rent now sits at the center of the national housing strategy. With institutions redirecting capital toward new rental communities, developers and landowners are positioned for significant momentum.

*BTR isn't just insulated — it's favored.*

*“This is great for the build-to-rent segment... This legislation could make institutions hyper focused on BTR.”*

**Trevor Koskovich**  
President of Investment Sales, Northmarq